

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

10

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usnto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/606,470	06/26/2003	Duane Palme	1180.1101101	3511
7590 02/28/2005			EXAMINER	
Glenn M. Seager			DEVORE, PETER T	
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC Suite 800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1221 Nicollet Avenue			3751	
Minneapolis, MN 55403-2420			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/606,470	PALME				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Peter T deVore	3751				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 De	ecember 2004.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	937 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1:121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priori	s have been received. s have been received in Application	on No				
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal P 6) ☐ Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	· 					

Art Unit: 3751

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4, 7-13, 15-19, 23-27, 29, 30, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruggeman in view of Roman.

The Bruggeman reference discloses a brush assembly comprising a brush 6', a telescoping handle (60 and 62) which is capable of delivering cleaning agent to the brush 6', and a conduit 122/124, but does not disclose that the brush is a stationary brush in combination with motor driven rotary brush. Instead, the brush 6' is non-powered. However, attention is directed to the Roman reference, which discloses a similar brush assembly including a stationary brush concentrically disposed around a rotary brush 14 driven by pneumatic motor 21 and gearing mechanism 19 and 20. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a stationary brush concentrically disposed around a pneumatic motor driven rotary brush for the non-powered brush in the Bruggeman assembly in view of the teachings of Roman for improved cleaning action of the device.

Art Unit: 3751

Claims 1, 5, 6, 8-13, 15-17, 19-21, 25-27, 29, 30, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruggeman in view of Armbruster (5,289,605).

The Bruggeman reference discloses a brush assembly comprising a brush 6', a telescoping handle (60 and 62) which is capable of delivering cleaning agent to the brush 6', and a conduit 122/124, but does not disclose that the brush is a motor driven rotary brush. Instead, the brush 6' is non-powered. However, attention is directed to the Roman reference, which discloses a similar brush assembly including a rotary brush 82 driven by electric motor 36 and gearing mechanism 40, 46, and 48. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an electric motor driven rotary brush for the non-powered brush in the Bruggeman assembly in view of the teachings of Roman for improved cleaning action of the device. Regarding claim 17, note that the brush is stationary when the device is not powered.

Claims 14, 28, and 31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruggeman in view of Roman as applied to claims 13 and 27 above, and further in view of Fromm.

The Bruggeman reference discloses a brush assembly as discussed supra, but does not disclose a hose removably connected to the handle. However, attention is directed to the Fromm reference, which discloses a similar assembly including a hose removably connected to the handle (see Figure 1) for a convenient supply of pressurized cleaning water to the assembly. It would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 10/606,470 Page 4

Art Unit: 3751

ordinary skill in the art to employ a hose removably connected to the handle of the modified Bruggeman device in view of the teachings of Fromm for a convenient supply of pressurized cleaning water to the assembly.

Claims 14, 28, and 31 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bruggeman in view of Armbruster as applied to claims 13 and 27 above, and further in view of Fromm.

The Bruggeman reference discloses a brush assembly as discussed supra, but does not disclose a hose removably connected to the handle. However, attention is directed to the Fromm reference, which discloses a similar assembly including a hose removably connected to the handle (see Figure 1) for a convenient supply of pressurized cleaning water to the assembly. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ a hose removably connected to the handle of the modified Bruggeman device in view of the teachings of Fromm for a convenient supply of pressurized cleaning water to the assembly.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 3751

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Lenhart reference discloses a brush assembly including both a water supply and a cleaning agent supply directed to the brush head.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter T deVore whose telephone number is (571) 272-4884. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Justine Yu can be reached on (571) 272-4835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3751

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pd Pd

JUSTINE R. YU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

2/25/05

Page 6